



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/830,121

04/23/2004

Jacques Habatjou

119426

9007

25944 7590 04/11/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 320850
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

BOECKMANN, JASON J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3752

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/830,121	Applicant(s) HABATJOU, JACQUES	
	Examiner Jason J. Boeckmann	Art Unit 3752	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason J. Boeckmann.

(3) James Golladay.

(2) Dinh Nguyen.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,20,21,27 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schilling, Krautzberger and Rookard.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The reasons for reopening prosecution after the filing of the notice of appeal were discussed. Prosecution was reopened mainly to add the Krautzberger reference. The reasons for withdrawing the allowability of claims 27 and 41 were disused in view of the Krautzberger reference. The 103 combination of Schilling in view of Rookard was also discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dinh Q Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required